CONSUMERS ILLINOIS WATER COMPANY

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

TERRY J. RAKOCY

CC. DOCKET NO. DO 0366

CLUC Exhibit No. LOSE

Witness

Date 11-9-00 Reporter 0 B

2		CIWC EXHIBIT 1.0SR				
3						
4 5		CONSUMERS ILLINOIS WATER COMPANY				
6						
7 8		SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY				
9		OF				
0		TERRY J. RAKOCY				
2						
3	<u>WIT</u>	NESS IDENTIFICATION AND BACKGROUND				
4	Q.	Please state your name and business address.				
15	A.	Terry J. Rakocy, 1000 South Schuyler Avenue, Kankakee, Illinois, 60901.				
16						
17	Q.	Are you the same Terry J. Rakocy who filed Direct and Rebuttal Testimony in this				
18		proceeding?				
19	A.	Yes, I am.				
20						
21	Q.	What is the purpose of your Surrebuttal Testimony?				
22	A.	The purpose of my Surrebuttal Testimony is to respond to the Rebuttal Testimony				
23		submitted in this matter by Staff witnesses Messrs. Sant and King.				
24						
25	RES	PONSE TO MR. SANT				
26	Q.	At page 2, Mr. Sant indicates that utilities should only use estimated cost when the				
27		original cost of utility systems cannot be determined. Do you agree with this				
28		statement?				
29	A.	Yes. In this proceeding, CIWC utilized estimates of the original cost of the water and				
30		sewer systems due to a belief that it was not possible to accurately determine an original				
31		cost of those systems from Thorngate's records. Based on the material developed in the				

course of this proceeding with respect to the sewer system, I now believe that an original cost for that system can reasonably be developed from Thorngate's records. Provided that an apparent mathematical error in Mr. Sant's calculations is corrected, CIWC is willing to accept the calculation of the original cost of sewer plant developed using the method proposed by Mr. Sant. I will discuss the necessary correction later in my testimony. With regard to the water system, however, there are no records from which an original cost can be accurately determined. For the reasons I will discuss, I believe that CIWC's use of an estimated cost for the water system is the appropriate and best available approach. Also, use of an estimate for the water system is consistent with Accounting Instruction 17(c) quoted by Mr. Sant which indicates that an estimate should be used when records of the acquired system do not provide a basis to know the original cost of property acquired. At page 3, Mr. Sant references your Rebuttal Testimony indicating that Thorngate has never been a regulated entity or public corporation and, therefore, does not keep its books in accordance with Commission's Uniform System of Accounts. Would you comment on Mr. Sant's reference to this testimony? Yes. Mr. Sant indicates that an entity's status as a regulated or public entity is not a

consideration in determining who first devoted property to utility service. CIWC does

not dispute this point. I pointed out that Thorngate is not a regulated public utility or

the type that such entities would be expected to maintain.

public corporation only to indicate that it has not maintained detail accounting records of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q.

A.

1	Q.	At page 4, Mr. Sant states "Mutual Services and Thorngate are the two entities that
2		first devoted the water system to public, therefore, their collective investment in
3		plant cost should be reflected as original cost in the rate base calculation". Would
4		you comment on this testimony?
5	A.	Yes. CIWC agrees with this position of Mr. Sant. As discussed in my Direct and
6		Rebuttal Testimony, however, Mutual Services is an entity which was formed solely to
7		hold title to portions of the water and sewer systems which were located in the residential
8		areas of the property under development. Thus, the relevant investment is that of
9		Thorngate.
10		
11	Q.	At pages 2 and 3, Mr. Sant refers to the construction history at Ivanhoe. Would you
12		comment on this testimony?
13	A.	Yes. Under an Amended and Restated Memorandum of Contract ("Amended Contract"),
14		dated December 21, 1990, Thorngate covenanted to provide water and sewer services in
15		accordance with the Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions For the
16		Mutual Water and Sewer System of Ivanhoe Club ("Declaration"). The Declaration is
17		also dated December 21, 1990. Under these documents and the original real estate
18		agreement dated November 9, 1987, Thorngate conveyed 38 acres of land to the Phase 2
19		developers and agreed to construct the sewer system (on its property and in the residential
20		areas). Thorngate also agreed to provide water and sewer utility service, using its
21		property and that owned by Mutual Services. In return, Thorngate received \$3.5 million
22		in cash and agreement by the Phase 2 developers to construct the water system and other
23		infrastructure projects. Thorngate also contracted to receive an Access Fee of \$12,000
24		for each lot in Phase 2. Copies of the Amended Contract and Declaration are attached as
25		CIWC Exhibits 1.1SR and 1.2SR, respectively.

1	Q.	Is Mr. Sant correct in suggesting that costs associated with constructing the water
2		and sewer systems are reflected in the price of lots sold by the Phase 2 developers?
3	A.	No, except with regard to Access Fees. As indicated in the Declaration, Thorngate
4		contracted to receive a \$12,000 Access Fee in connection with each lot in the Phase 2
5		development. These fees are charged to the purchasers of lots in Phase 2. Accordingly,
6		the purchasers of lots in Phase 2 are required to pay costs associated with the water and
7		sewer systems. Under CIWC's proposal, the amount of the Access Fees (including fees
8		collected to date and those which will be paid through full development of Phase 2) are
9		reflected as contributions which offset the original cost of the plant acquired.
10		
11	Q.	Is Mr. Sant correct in suggesting at page 5 that you believe the residential developer
12		is foregoing cost recovery?
13	A.	No. As explained in my Rebuttal Testimony, the Phase 2 developers received land (and
14		Thorngate's agreement to build the sewer system) in return for their cash and the
15		agreement to construct the water system and other infrastructure. As Mr. Sant
16		recognizes, developers were paid for construction of the water system by the receipt of
17		land provided by Thorngate. Thus, the Phase 2 developers were compensated by
18		Thorngate for the cost incurred in building the water system for Thorngate's use. The
19		Phase 2 developers, therefore, did not forego cost recovery.
20	•	
21	Q.	Do you believe that the Phase 2 developers could reasonably have reflected costs
22		associated with the water system in lot prices for the Phase 2 lots?
23	A.	No. For Mr. Sant's position to be accurate, it would be necessary to assume that, having
24		already been paid to construct the water system (through the transfer of land), the Phase 2
25		developers would seek further compensation for the cost of the water system through
26		inclusion of the cost in the price of lots. It would be necessary to further assume that the
27		buyers and sellers of the Phase 2 lots agreed to prices which reflected recovery of water

1		system costs once through Access Fees charged to lot purchasers, and again through a
2		portion of the purchase price charged to lot purchasers. There is absolutely no basis for
3		either assumption.
4		
5	Q.	Are the circumstances in Commission proceedings referenced by Mr. Sant at page 4
6		similar to CIWC's proposal in this proceeding?
7	A.	No. As discussed above, there is no evidence in this proceeding indicating that (aside
8		from the Access Fees) any cost associated with the water system were recovered by the
9		developers through lot sales, customer contributions or tax write-offs. Accordingly, the
10		situation discussed in the referenced dockets does not exist in this proceeding.
11		
12	Q.	Is Mr. Sant correct in suggesting at page 5 that Thorngate has no investment in the
13		water system?
14	A.	No. As Mr. Sant recognizes, in return for land provided to the developers, Thorngate
15		received cash and the developers' agreement to construct the water system and other
16		infrastructure items. Therefore, Thorngate's investment in the water system is equivalent
17		to the value of the portion of the 38 acres of land which was transferred to the Phase 2
18		developers in return for construction of the water system.
19		
20	Q.	Does Mr. Sant recognize this point?
21	A.	It does not appear so. At page 6, Mr. Sant indicates that Thorngate paid for the water
22		system and other infrastructure items with the "cost of its land exchanged net of any cash
23		received by Thorngate." This statement is not logical or correct. The original cost of the
24		land reflects only the amount originally paid by Thorngate for the land. At the time of
25		the transfer, however, the value of the land had changed. Thorngate paid for the water
26		system, not with the "cost of its land exchanged" but with the value of its land
27		exchanged. In the transaction, the value of Thorngate's land exchanged was equivalent

1		to the sum of: (i) the amount of cash received by Thorngate; and (ii) the value of the
2		water system and other infrastructure which the Phase 2 developers agreed to construct.
3		Mr. Sant's suggestion that the value should be reduced by the amount of cash received is
4		illogical. There is no basis to subtract the amount of cash received in determining the
5		value of Thorngate's payment to the Phase 2 developers.
6		
7	Q.	At page 9, Mr. Sant references your testimony indicating the cost of the water
8		facilities is not reflected in Thorngate's accounts. Would you comment on this
9		testimony?
10	A.	Yes. At page 9, Mr. Sant suggests that my testimony is consistent with Mr. Sant's
11		suggestion that Thorngate has no remaining investment in the 38 acres of land nor the
12		water system. There is, however, no relationship between my statement and the
13		conclusion of Mr. Sant. The purpose of my statement was to indicate only that there is
14		no reference to the cost of the water facilities and any of Thorngate's accounts. This is
15		because, as I have discussed, the water facilities were constructed for Thorngate (and
16		Mutual Services) by the Phase 2 developers.
17		
18	Q.	Is Mr. Sant correct in suggesting that Thorngate had no investment in the land
19		because it sold the land at a profit?
20	A.	No. As explained above, the amount of Thorngate's investment in the water system is
21		the value of the land exchanged by Thorngate for that system. The fact that the value of
22		the land at the time of the exchange for the water system exceeded its original cost
23		certainly does not suggest that Thorngate does not have an investment in the water
24		system. There is no logical basis for this conclusion.

- Are records available from which the portion of the value of land transferred to the 1 Q. 2 Phase 2 developers in return for the promise to construct the water system can be determined? 3 There is no basis in the records to determine a precise amount. However, a reasonable 4 A. 5 estimate can be developed. As Mr. Sant indicates, the developers provided cash in the 6 amount of \$3.5 million and an agreement to construct the water system and other infrastructure in return for Thorngate's land. While there is no specific amount assigned 7 to the promise to construct the water system, I believe the estimate made by CIWC of the 8 9 cost of constructing such a water system at the time of construction is a reasonable estimate of the value which should be assigned to the applicable portion of the land. 10 11 What is the estimated cost for construction for the water system? 12 Q. As indicated in my Direct Testimony and Exhibit H, CIWC estimated that the original 13 A. cost of the water system by determining the present day cost of the system and trending 14
- that cost back to the time of construction. Using this approach, CIWC estimated that, at 15 the time constructed, the cost of the water system was \$1,624,987, and that applicable 16 accumulated depreciation is \$256,504. Therefore, the estimated net utility plant in 17 service for the water system is \$1,368,483, as shown on Exhibit H. CIWC believes that 18 this is the best available evidence of the original cost of the water system at the time that 19 it was first devoted to public service. 20

- At page 4, Mr. Sant suggests that construction cost borne by the Phase 2 developers 22 Q. should not be considered in determining the net original cost of the water system. 23 Do you agree with this position? 24
- No. As discussed above, Thorngate contracted with the Phase 2 developers to build the 25 A. water system and transfer title to Thorngate and Mutual Services. Therefore, the 26 payment provided by Thorngate to the Phase 2 developers in the form of land represents 27

1		Thorngate's investment in the water system. The developers contracted to construct the
2		water system for Thorngate (and Mutual Services). As discussed above, I believe the
_		water system for Thorngate (and Mutual Services). As discussed above, 1 believe the
3		estimated cost of the water system is the best available measure of the value of
4		Thorngate's payment.
5		
6	Q.	Would you comment on Mr. Sant's development of the water original cost?
7	A.	Yes. In developing water original cost, Mr. Sant has included an amount of Utility
8		Plant-in-Service which is limited to level of contributed plant, plus \$100. The amount
9		corresponds to that shown in column G of Schedule 4, which Mr. Sant included with his
10		direct testimony (with an adjustment to include the amount of cash paid by CIWC for the
11		acquisition). Mr. Sant, however, has excluded the bulk of the original cost of the water
12		system. As discussed above, the Net Utility Plant-in-Service consistent with the original
13		cost of the water system at the time it was first devoted to public service is \$1,368,483, as
14		compared to Mr. Sant's calculation of \$483,940.
15		
16	Q.	Would you further comment on the level of water net original cost proposed by
17		Mr. Sant?
18	A.	Yes. After a deduction for contributions, Mr. Sant proposes a net original cost of water
19		plant in the amount of \$100. Under these circumstances, CIWC would have no incentive
20		to acquire or operate a small system, such as that of Ivanhoe. If there is little or no rate
21		base assigned to a utility operation, there is no opportunity to earn a profit.
77		

1	Q.	Has the Commission recognized in past orders that, when small water system
2		property is acquired for a purchased price below original cost, the full original cost
3		of property at the time it was first devoted to public service should be recognized in
4		rate base?
5	A.	Yes. This is particularly true in circumstances where a failure to recognize the full
6		original cost would result in a remaining rate base which is either low or a negative
7		amount. The Commission has recognized that, in such circumstances, it is necessary to
8		recognize the full original cost of property acquired in order to provide a proper incentive
9		for acquisitions, such as the one in the present case, which are in the public interest. As
10		the Commission recognized in Rollins Sewer and Water Company, Docket 83-0693
11		(Oct. 30, 1984), a failure to recognize the original cost of property as first devoted to
12		public service would raise "yet another disincentive or impediment" to the acquisition of
13		a small water system. The Commission also recognized this point in Consumers Illinois
14		Water Company, Docket 88-0045 (Oct. 12, 1988).
15		
16	Q.	What is CIWC's position with regard to the water original cost?
17	A.	CIWC's position is that the original cost of water plant shown in Exhibit H, which
18		accompanied my Direct Testimony, is appropriate. This balance reflects a reasonable
19		estimate of the cost of the plant acquired as of the time it was first devoted to public

CH: 1130182 v1 Surrebuttal Testimony of Terry J. Rakocy 083264-034036

20

21

22

23

24

service. As discussed in my Rebuttal Testimony, CIWC accepts Mr. Sant's proposal to

use Access Fees as a measure of related contributions. CIWC Exhibit 1.3SR shows the

appropriate level of water rate base using the original cost of plant from Exhibit H, and

Mr. Sant's proposed level of water contributions.

1	Q.	You discussed a mathematical error which you believe appears in Mr. Sant's
2		calculation of original cost for the sewer system. Would you discuss that error.
3	A.	Yes. In his Direct Testimony, in calculating the original cost of plant for the sewer
4		system, Mr. Sant used the sum of columns F, G and H, which appear on his Schedule 4
5		for the sewer system. In his Rebuttal Testimony, Mr. Sant recognizes that, as CIWC
6		pointed out in its Rebuttal Testimony, the balances he shows as costs associated with the
7		water system on Schedule 4 in columns F and H, should actually have been recorded as
8		sewer system costs. When the amounts shown in columns F and H for the water system
9		are transferred to columns F and H for the sewer system, the balances of these columns
0		are, respectively, \$1,974,441, \$596,160 and \$303,484. The sum of these numbers is
11		\$2,874,085. Mr. Sant, however, reflects a Utility Plant-in-Service balance of only
12		\$2,277,925. It appears that, in calculating this balance, Mr. Sant inadvertently excluded
13		contributed plant in the amount of \$596,160. Mr. Sant properly included contributed
14		plant in developing his Utility Plant-in-Service balance for both the water and sewer
15		systems on Schedule 2 of his Direct Testimony, and for the water system on Schedule 7
16		of his Rebuttal Testimony. CIWC assumes that the failure to reflect the amount of
17		contributed plant for the sewer system on Mr. Sant's Schedule 7 of his Rebuttal
18		Testimony was inadvertent.
19		
20	Q.	Is there a reason why is it necessary to reflect contributed plant in the Utility
21		Plant-in-Service balance?
22	A.	Yes. As shown on Schedule 7, Mr. Sant deducts contributions of \$596,160 in
23		determining his net original cost of plant. If the contributed plant is not included in the
24		Utility Plant-in-Service balance, the deduction for contributions is being made from an
25		amount which does not include the contributed plant. Such a deduction would be clearly

inappropriate.

26

Q. With regard to the net original cost of the sewer system, what is CIWC's

As indicated above, if the apparent mathematical error is corrected, CIWC will accept Mr. Sant's determination of the net original cost of sewer plant based upon Thorngate's records. The amount of Sewer Utility Plant-in-Service proposed by Mr. Sant compares favorably with the estimate developed by CIWC (as set forth in Exhibit H, page 2). The appropriate net original cost is shown on CIWC Exhibit 1.3SR. The Exhibit reflects use of the level of contributions developed by Mr. Sant.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

A.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

A.

Q. Would you comment on Mr. Sant's testimony regarding future rate increases?

Yes. CIWC and Staff are in agreement with regard to this issue. Mr. Sant indicates that, if CIWC provides "quality and informative Supplemental Annual Reports," the Commission would not automatically suspend rates filed by CIWC at the time of an increase in rates by the Village of Mundeline. CIWC believes that this is a reasonable approach. Under the circumstances of the present case, CIWC is acquiring a property in which it is anticipated that rates in effect will match those in effect in a nearby village. CIWC's agreement with Thorngate anticipates that this rate approach will be maintained for 10 years, after which rates will be set by the Commission at the level deemed appropriate. CIWC has agreed to provide Supplemental Annual Reports with information which will demonstrate that the Mundeline rates are not providing an excessive return. CIWC has further agreed that it would reduce rates and provide customer refunds in the event that an excessive return is realized. Under these circumstances, CIWC believes that Mr. Sant's proposal that the Commission should examine the information provided, and not automatically suspend a rate filing is appropriate. As discussed in my Rebuttal Testimony, if CIWC were required to bear the significant cost of a rate proceeding simply to implement a new rate made effective by the Village of Mundeline, a significant disincentive to the acquisition would be created.

Ο.	Would vo	ou summarize	vour	nosition?
v.	TT VUIU T	va summanize	yvuz	hasitian.

A. Yes. As has been discussed: (i) with correction of the apparent mathematical error, CIWC and Staff are in agreement with regard to the level of net original cost of sewer plant; (ii) Staff's calculation of water net original cost should be corrected to reflect a reasonable estimate of the original cost of the water at the time it was first devoted to public service by Thorngate; and (iii) CIWC and Staff are in agreement that, if CIWC provides appropriate information in Supplemental Annual Reports, the Commission should not automatically suspend rate filings made to implement new rates established by the Village of Mundeline.

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

RESPONSE TO MR. KING

- Q. At page 2, Mr. King discussed your testimony regarding Section 8-406. Would you comment on this testimony?
- Yes. Contrary to Mr. King's statement, it is not CIWC's position that Section 8-406 does 14 A. not apply in this proceeding. I believe CIWC and Staff are in agreement that 15 16 Section 8-406 does apply, and that CIWC has made the necessary showings to support issuance of a Certificate authorizing CIWC to serve the Ivanhoe area. The purpose of my 17 Rebuttal Testimony was to point out that the particular passage of the Section quoted by 18 19 Mr. King refers to a request for certification of proposed construction of a new facility. Because construction of a new facility is not involved in this proceeding, the language 20 quoted by Mr. King would not apply. So far as I am aware, there is no dispute between 21 22 CIWC and Staff regarding whether CIWC's request for issuance of a Certificate authorizing it to serve the Ivanhoe area should be approved. 23

24

- 25 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 26 A. Yes, it does.

5/2981799

AMENDED AND RESTATED MEMORANDUM OF CONTRACT

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, Thorngate, the Trustee (Thorngate and the Trustee sometimes collectively referred to as the "Seller") and Brook-Ridge entered into a certain Real Estate Sale Agreement dated November 9, 1987 (the "Original Contract"), for the sale of 38 acres of land located in Lake County, Illinois legally described in Exhibit A attached hereto and made a part hereof (the "Real Estate") from Seller to Brook-Ridge;

WHEREAS, the Real Estate constitutes "Phase II" ("Phase II") of a certain planned unit development commonly known as The Ivanhoe Country Club Planned Development, as approved by the board of Trustees of Lake County on June 11, 1990 (the "Development");

TURN TO:

BANIO M. LESSER
FOREM MUCHINE ELME
1600 WEST MONREST.
CHICAGO, IL GUA-3691

whereas, the Original Contract was amended by a certain agreement dated March 31, 1989 (the "Amendment"). The Original Contract and the Amendment shall hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Contract";

WHEREAS, Thorngate, the Trustee, Brook-Ridge and Red Top have entered into a certain Memorandum of Contract, dated as of April 3, 1989, one counterpart original of which was executed by Thorngate and Trustee and recorded in the Office of the Recorder of Deeds of Lake County, Illinois (the "Recorder's Office") on April 6, 1989 as Document No. 2780474, and one counterpart original of which was fully executed by all of said parties and recorded in the Recorder's Office on May 30, 1989 as Document No. 2796454;

WHEREAS, the Real Estate was transferred by Seller to Red Top at the direction of Brook-Ridge On March 27, 1989;

WHEREAS, Seller has been requested to approve the transfer and assignment of vacant real estate to IDLP and to release Red Top from any and all obligations under the original contract, all amendments and recorded memoranda thereto;

WHEREAS, Red Top has now agreed to transfer the Real Estate to IDLP on or about the date hereof, with the approval of Seller; and

whereas, Seller, IDLP and Brook-Ridge shall have continuing obligations with respect to the Development and the

parties desire to memorialize those certain continuing obligations as modified by the terms of this Memorandum.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises contained in the Contract, the release of Red Top by Seller and their consent to the transfer and assignment to IDLP, and for other good and valuable consideration, the parties agree, represent and warrant as follows:

Payments to Seller. In reliance upon the Original 1. Contract and consideration owed to it by Red Top and Brook-Ridge under the Original Contract, Thorngate has expended significant funds in the installation of a waste water treatment system for the Real Estate that is intended to operate in conjunction with a potable water system for the Real Estate. In light of this reliance and, in consideration for Thorngate's covenant to provide utilities services as set forth in Section 3(8) below, Thorngate, its successors and assigns shall be paid a fee of \$12,000.00 per Residential Lot upon the purchase of said lot from IDLP and/or its successor as a onetime only hook up/access fee to the waste water treatment/ potable water system owned by Thorngate. Said fee shall be payable regardless of whether or not it is contemplated at the time that said fee becomes due and payable that the lot for which it is paid will be serviced by said waste water treatment/potable water system owned by Thorngate. Upon payment, Thorngate shall provide a release of this obligation in recordable form, shall enter said payment in a book of

accounts that it shall maintain until five (5) years after the obligation to pay all of said fees is satisfied and shall, upon request by any lot owner, issue a letter for the benefit of title insurers, lenders and other appropriate parties confirming that said fee has been paid. This provision shall constitute a covenant running with touching and concerning, benefitting and burdening the Real Estate and that certain real property legally described on Exhibit B and C attached hereto and made a part hereof, commonly known as Parcel B ("Parcel B") and Parcel D ("Parcel D") of the Development, respectively, and shall encumber each subdivided residential lot as shown on Ivanhoe Club Phase II Final Plat of Subdivision certified August 2, 1990 by the Digital Group, Ltd., as amended from time to time (the "Plat of Subdivision"), until paid, and shall be shown on the final plat of subdivision of Phase II.

For the purposes of this Section 1, the term "successor" shall mean only a bulk purchaser from IDLP of all or substantially all of the lots then owned by IDLP with the intent to resell, rather than build on and then resell, said lots. Without limiting the foregoing the term "successor" shall include trustees in bankruptcy, assignees for the benefit of creditors and mortgagees acquiring title through foreclosure. The obligations contained in this Section 1 of the owner or owners of subdivided residential lots within Phase II are expressly made subject to the terms and provisions of that certain Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and

Restrictions for the Mutual Water and Sewer System of the Ivanhoe Club (the "Utility Declaration"), dated on or about the date hereof, as recorded in the Office of the Recorder of Deeds of Lake County, Illinois ("Recorder's Office"), which generally permits Thorngate to charge similar one-time only hook-up/access fees to the waste water treatment/potable water system owned by Thorngate to other property owners whose property may hereafter be added to the Development.

- 2. Improvement Obligations of Brook-Ridge and IDLP.
- A. In lieu of sharing the cost of developing certain infrastructure as initially provided for by various of the parties, Brook-Ridge and/or IDLP shall complete the following improvements in a good and workmanlike manner, with reasonable diligence, giving due consideration to weather conditions, governmental requirements and shall pay as their sole obligation all sums called for by contracts covering any of the improvement work enumerated below upon presentation of their invoices, after approval of the improvement work by the appropriate governmental body having jurisdiction thereover;
- (1) All Illinois State Rte. 176 improvements at Thorngate Drive in Lake County, Illinois, according to plans entitled "Illinois State Route 176 Improvements at Thorngate Drive, in Lake County Illinois", consisting of sheets 1 through 12, dated October 20, 1989 and prepared by Digital Group, Ltd. as originally approved by IDOT letter dated January 22, 1990, bearing file No. L-00845.

- (2) All improvements required and provided for on Thorngate Drive from Rte. 176 to the north apron of the bridge or crossing of the lake or channel providing access to the Ivanhoe Club House, including but not limited to, the entryway and gate-house adjacent to Rte. 176, water lines, waste water lines, storm water system, lift stations and all utilities as shown on the Plans entitled "Ivanhoe Country Club, Lake County, Illinois," consisting of sheets 1 through 49, dated April 15, 1990, revised October 25, 1990, and prepared by The Digital Group, Ltd.
- (3) All improvements in connection with the construction of the potable water system including the wells, pumping station, reservoir, and distribution system for Ivanhoe Club, Lake County, Illinois, substantially as shown on plans entitled "Proposed Pumping Station Reservoir for Ivanhoe Country Club, Lake County, Illinois", consisting of sheets 1 through 13, dated October 5, 1989, revised July 10, 1990 and prepared by Donald Manhard Associates, Inc. and, permitted by I.E.P.A. #0576-FY 1990 dated January 9, 1990. Said improvements shall be constructed on Parcel B in the vicinity of the second tee or at such other place as Thorngate shall reasonably designate; provided, however, that any incremental costs occasioned by such relocation shall be borne by Thorngate and the Trustee. Brook-Ridge and IDLP shall, upon completion, convey by Quit Claim Deed and/or Bill of Sale all right, title and interest to said potable water system to Thorngate free and

clear of all mechanics' liens and encumbrances, caused by Brook-Ridge or IDLP.

- (4) All public or private improvements required on the Real Estate as required by the Utility Declaration and the Homeowners' Declaration.
- B. Brook-Ridge and IDLP have the following additional obligations, at their sole cost, which shall be performed with reasonable diligence after request by Thorngate or Trustee, giving due consideration to weather conditions and governmental requirements.
- (1) To deliver a deed of correction to Seller upon request for the purpose of relocating a portion of Thorngate Drive in accordance with the ALTA Plat of Survey, designated "CHMPALTA.DWG", prepared by the Digital Group, Ltd. and certified October 19, 1990 to Capitol Bank and Trust of Chicago and to Chicago Title Insurance Company.
- (2) To promptly perform all obligations of owner of the Real Estate required by any ordinance or resolution of the Lake County Board, or any commissions or committees thereof, heretofore adopted, relating to the development of the Real Estate.
- (3) Perform from time to time all acts and obligations required by resolution or ordinance adopted heretofore by the Lake County Board or any commissions or committees thereof and to perform all acts required by easements, restrictions and any covenants set out on that

certain Plat of Survey of Ivanhoe Country Club consisting of sheets 1 through 3, dated March 28, 1989 and recorded in the Lake County Recorder's Office on April 18, 1989 as Document No. 2784293; and

- (4) Perform from time to time all acts and obligations required by the recorded Plat of Subdivision, the Utility Declaration and the Homeowners' Declaration.
- C. All obligations of Brook-Ridge and IDLP under this Section 2 shall be subject to the following additional conditions:
- (1) all improvements shall be deemed complete for the purposes of determining whether or not Brook-Ridge and IDLP have performed their obligations under this Section 2 if they are determined by an appropriate inspecting party to be "substantially complete," provided that Brook-Ridge and IDLP shall thereafter proceed with reasonable diligence to finally complete said improvements; and
- discretion, make, cause to be made or agree to modifications of the plans and specifications for said improvements without the prior consent of any other party, provided that said modifications do not change the capacity of or materially affect the cost or manner of operation of said improvements, and further providing that said modifications do not substantially alter the plans and specifications prepared by Donald Manhard & Associates, are generally in accord with the

- I.E.P.A. permit heretofore granted, and in no event shall any such change exceed a cost in excess of Twenty-Five Thousand (\$25,000.00 Dollars. No modification to the plans and specifications for said improvements, whether or not it requires the consent of any other party, shall be required to be placed in the public record pursuant to the terms of this Memorandum. Nothing contained in this subsection (2) shall be deemed to relieve IDLP or Brook-Ridge of any obligation to obtain the approval of Lake County or any committee or commission thereof and Thorngate and Trustee shall cooperate in any proceeding required to obtain any such approval.
- D. Brook-Ridge shall simultaneously with the sale by Red Top to IDLP, release Thorngate from its obligations regarding cost sharing contained in the Original Contract and those certain indemnification obligations contained in letters dated March 31, and April 3, 1989, to Brook-Ridge from Thorngate.
- 3. Obligations of Seller. Seller has the remaining obligations at its sole cost and obligation, promptly upon request by Brook-Ridge and/or IDLP, to:
- (1) deliver a deed of correction to IDLP, or its nominee, for the purpose of relocating a portion of Thorngate Drive in accordance with the ALTA Plat of Survey, designated "CHMPALT2.DWG.", prepared by the Digital Group, Ltd. certified December 6, 1990 to Ivanhoe Development Limited Partnership and to Chicago Title Insurance Company;

- (2) perform all acts or improvements required to continue in effect and at IDLP's sole cost and request, extend the 404 permit previously issued by the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers relating to the mitigation of any wetlands or compensation for flood plain located or previously located on the Real Estate;
- caused by the acts of Seller, its agents, contractors, employees or their representatives, including those encroachments shown on that certain ALTA survey of the Real Estate prepared by the Digital Group, Inc. and dated October 18, 1990 within a reasonable time after demand by IDLP or its successors in interest; or at Thorngate's option, Thorngate may purchase any lot affected by such encroachmentss according to IDLP's then current price list and otherwise on terms and conditions generally offered the public including, without limitation, a pass on of the \$12,000.00 fee provided for in Section 1 above if said fee would be passed on pursuant to the terms and conditions generally offered to the public;
- (4) perform from time to time all acts and obligations required by resolution or ordinance adopted heretofore by the Lake County Board or any commissions or committees thereof and to perform all acts required by easements, restrictions and any covenants set out on that certain Plat of Survey of Ivanhoe Country Club consisting of sheets 1 through 3, dated March 28, 1989 and recorded in the

Lake County Recorder's Office on April 18, 1989 as Document No. 2784293;

- (5) grant a construction easement in form and substance reasonably acceptable to IDLP, which easement shall be recordable and insurable, to enter upon Parcel "B" from Route 176 for the purpose of constructing the potable water system including the pumping station, wells and reservoir to be constructed pursuant to paragraph 2(a)(3);
- (6) provide a separate water meter to the Club House;
- (7) grant to the Homeowner's Association and to the Mutual Water and Utility Association created pursuant to the declarations of covenants, conditions and restrictions identified in Section 2 A (4) above, a permanent easement in form and substance reasonably acceptable to IDLP, which easement shall be recordable and insurable, for ingress to and egress from Parcel "B" for the purpose of maintenance and operation to the site of the potable water system, wells, pumping station and reservoir from Rte. 176 on and upon Parcel B in the event of a default on the part of Thorngate which default would entitle the Home Owner Association and/or Mutual Water and Sewer System Association to access the potable water system to ensure its maintenance and continuing function;
- (8) to provide the services called for by the Utility Declaration.

- 4. Termination of Memorandum of Contract. In the event this Memorandum is not extended by an instrument signed by the parties hereto and recorded on or before ten (10) years from the date hereof, the obligations on said date of the parties under the Contract shall be deemed to have been fulfilled or otherwise terminated and terms and provisions of the Contract and this Memorandum shall be of no further force and effect. This Memorandum supersedes and takes the place of Documents No. 2780474 and 2796454, and the provisions of paragraph 9(b) and 9(c) of the Original Contract, which documents and provisions are expressly abrogated and are no longer of any force and effect.
- 5. Individual Lot Owners. The obligations of Brook-Ridge and/or IDLP under this Contract, other than the obligation to pay the fee described in Section 1 above, shall not be binding upon any purchaser of all or any portion of the Real Estate other than a "successor," as that term is defined in Section 1 above, shall be of no further force and effect simultaneously with the conveyance of any portion of the Real Estate to any person or entity other than such a successor, shall cease to run with the land or otherwise affect the title to any such portion of the Real Estate simultaneously with such a conveyance and none of this Memorandum of Contract, the Original Contract and the April 13, 1989 Memorandum of Contract shall appear as or constitute a lien, claim, encumbrance or other exception to title with respect to the conveyance of any

portion of the Real Estate to any person or entity other than such a successor.

- 6. <u>Default</u>. The parties agree that they shall in concert take such steps as are reasonably necessary with the County of Lake as may be required to ensure and complete the re-zoning rights and obligations enumerated in this agreement. The parties acknowledge that a breach of any provision of this Memorandum of Agreement would expose the non-breaching party to unique and special damage. Accordingly, each party on reasonable notice and demand shall be empowered to compel the performance of the other in order to complete the zoning process and/or in order to satisfy any of the terms of this Memorandum, or sue for damages in law.
- 7. Summary. This Memorandum is intended to set out the obligations of IDLP and the parties to the Contract and its amendments and supplements as they exist as of the date of this Memorandum and to clarify that Red Top is being released of its obligations. All parties acknowledge that Red Top has no further obligations to Seller pursuant to said Contract, its amendments and supplements or this Memorandum and has been separately released. Except as expressly set forth herein, this Memorandum does not address the rights of the parties outside the Contract as defined herein as of the date hereof, as relates to the rights and obligations created under the Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions for the Mutual Water and Sewer System of the Ivanhoe Club, and any

maintenance agreement arising therefrom and the Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions for Ivanhoe Club P.U.D., and in the event of any conflict or inconsistency between the terms and provisions of this Memorandum and any of said instruments, the terms and provisions of said instruments and control.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed He Memorandum as of that first day above written.

THORNGATE COUNTRY CLUB, an Illinois corporation

BROOK-RIDGE DEVELOPMENT, INC., an Illinois corporation corporation

By: Que (. Wo)

CAPITOL BANK & TRUST, One not personally, but as Trustee aforesaid

By: John Hould

RED TOP DEVELOPMENT Corporation, an Illinois

Its Quilet

IVANHOE DEVELOPMENT LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, an Illinois registered limited partnership

Ву:	 	
Its		

SJS1

maintenance agreement arising therefrom and the Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions for Ivanhoe Club P.U.D., and in the event of any conflict or inconsistency between the terms and provisions of this Memorandum and any of said instruments, the terms and provisions of said instruments shall govern and control.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Memorandum as of that first day above written.

THORNGATE COUNTRY CLUB, an Illinois corporation	CAPITOL BANK & TRUST, not personally, but as Trustee aforesaid
Ву:	By:
Its	Its
BROOK-RIDGE DEVELOPMENT, INC., an Illinois corporation corporation	RED TOP DEVELOPMENT Corporation, an Illinois
Ву:	Ву:
Its	Its
P.	VANHOE DEVELOPMENT LIMITED ARTNERSHIP, an Illinois egistered limited partnership By:

15

SJS1

CONSENT OF MORTGAGEE

NBD Highland Park Bank, N.A., an Illinois corporation, as Trustee under a Trust Deed on a portion of the Property, dated July 3, 1990 and recorded July 13, 1990, in the Office of the Recorder of Deeds of Lake County, Illinois as Document Number 2924577, as amended by Amendment to Trust Deed dated, 19 and recorded /, 19 in the Office of the Recorder of Deeds of Lake County, Illinois as Document No, hereby consents to the execution and recording of the within Declaration of Covenants, conditions and Restrictions for Ivanhoe Club Mutual Water and Sewer System and agrees that said Deed of Trust, as amended is subject to the provisions thereof.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, NBD Highland Park Bank, N.A. has caused this instrument to be signed by its duly authorized officers on its behalf, all done at Chicago, Illinois on this 24 day of NBCOMBER, 1990.
NBD HIGHLAND PARK BANK, N.A.
By: Sand Sustofen
Its: Vice President
Attest:
Ву:

SS. COUNTY OF COOK I, the undersigned, a Notary Public, in and for the County and State aforesaid, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, that President of NBD Highland Park Bank, N.A., an Illinois corporation and ____ Secretary of said Company, personally known to me to be the same persons whose names are subscribed to the foregoing President and instrument as such Socretary, respectively, appeared before me this day in person and severally acknowledged that they signed and delivered the said instrument as their own free and voluntary act and as the free and voluntary act of said Company, for the uses and purposes therein set forth; and Secretary, as custodian of the the saidcorporation seal of said Company caused the corporate seal to be affixed to said instrument, as said Secretary's own free and voluntary act, and as the free and voluntary act of said Company, for the uses and purposes therein set forth.

STATE OF ILLINOIS

day of ______, 1950

y commission expires:

"OFFICIAL SEAL"

JERRY C. LAGERQUIST

Notary Public, State of Illinois
My Commission Expires May 27, 1992

) SS: COUNTY OF C O O K) I, the undersigned, a Notary Public, in and for the County and State aforesaid, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, that FAMY BINIZAY President of Brook-Ridge Development, Inc. and personally known to me to be the Secretary of said corporation, and personally known to me to be the same persons whose names are subscribed to the foregoing instrument, appeared before me this day in person and severally acknowledged that as such President and Secretary, they signed and delivered the said pursuant to authority given by the Board of Directors of said corporation as their free and voluntary act, and as the free and voluntary act and deed of said corporation, for the uses and purposes therein set forth. Given under my hand and official seal this 2/5 day of 18/12 シァこ My Commission Expires "OFF:CIAL SEAL"

STATE OF ILLINOIS)

JERRY C. LAGERQUIST Notary Public, State of Illinois My Cammission Expires May 27, 1992

COUNTY OF Look) SS.
I, the undersigned, a Notary Public, in and for the County and State aforesaid, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, that personally known to me to be the President of Red Top Development
Corporation and, personally known to me to be the
pursuant to authority given by the Board of Directors of said corporation as their free and voluntary act, and as the free and voluntary act and deed of said corporation, for the uses and purposes therein set forth.
day of, 19\$2.

STATE OF ILLINOIS)

My Commission expires

Notary Public

"OFFICIAL SEAL"
JERRY C. LAGERQUIST
Notary Public, State of Illinois

My Commission Expires May 27, 1992

STATE OF ILLINOIS) SS.
COUNTY OF COOK)
I, the undersigned, a Notary Public, in and for the County and State aforesaid, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, that F. D. Sove personally known to me to be the president of C.T. ELEVEN, INC., an Illinois Corporation, a general partner of IVANHOE DEVELOPMENT LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, an Illinois general partnership and
known to me to be the same persons whose names are subscribed to the foregoing instrument, appeared before me this day in person and severally acknowledged that as such Vice President and Severally acknowledged that as such Vice President pursuant to authority given by the Board of Directors of said corporation as their free and voluntary act, and as the free and voluntary act and deed of said corporation, and as the free and voluntary act of IVANHOE DEVELOPMENT LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, for the uses and purposes therein set forth.
Given under my hand and official seal, this 21 day of December , 1990.
Marjone M. Robinson Notary Public
Notary Public
My Commission Expires:
MARJORIE M. ROBINSON) MOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF RURSOIS MOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF RURSOIS MOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF RURSOIS

STATE OF ILLINOIS)

COUNTY OF COOK)

This is to certify that John E. Houlihan, personally known to me to be the Senior Vice-President of Capitol Bank & Trust, an Illinois banking corporation, and personally known to me to be the same person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, appeared before me this day in person and acknowledged that as such Senior Vice-President he signed and delivered the said instrument and expressly acknowledged to me the execution of the foregoing document as his free and voluntary act, and as the free and voluntary act and deed of said corporation.

Dated this 20th day of December, 1990.

Modery Public

My Commission expires on: October 3, 1991

" OFFICIAL SEAL "
MICHELE MORRIS-SCHOLICK
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF ILLLICIS
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 10/2/91

21

RECORDER LAKE COUNTY, LLLINGS

STATE OF ILLINOIS

88

1991 JAN 16 AN IC: 55

COUNTY OF COOK

Frank & Hustra

This is to certify that Wayne LeBlang and Stephen J. Schostok, personally known to me to be the President and Assistant Secretary, respectively, of Thorngate Country Club, Inc., an Illinois not-for-profit corporation, and personally known to me to be the same persons whose names are subscribed to the foregoing instrument, appeared before me this day in person and severally acknowledged that as such President and Assistant Secretary they signed and delivered the said instrument and expressly acknowledged to me the execution of the foregoing document as their free and voluntary act, and as the free and voluntary act and deed of said corporation.

Dated this 21st day of December, 1990.

Michile Monio - Sakaluck

My Commission expires on: October 3, 1991

"OFFICIAL SEAL"
MIGHELE MCREIS-SCHOLICK
NOTARY FUOLIC STATE OF ILLIPOIS
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 10/2/91

22

THAT PART OF THE NORTH WEST 1/4 AND THE SOUTH WEST 1/4 OF SECTION 22. TOWNSHIP 44 NORTH, RANGE 10, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, AND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: COMMENCING AT THE NORTH WEST CORNER OF THE SOUTH WEST 1/4 OF SAID SECTION 22; THENCE SOUTH 69 DEGREES 57 MINUTES 29 SECONDS EAST, ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SOUTH WEST 1/4, 687.78 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE NORTH 01 DEGREES 25 MINUTES 07 SECONDS EAST, 96.31 FEET; THENCE NORTH 48 DEGREES 59 MINUTES 52 SECONDS WEST, 281.91 FEET; THENCE NORTH 46 DEGREES 03 MINUTES 39 SECONDS EAST, 350.19 FEET; THENCE NORTH 16 DEGREES 59 MINUTES 46 SECONDS WEST, 717.76 FEET; THENCE NORTH 16 DEGREES 00
MINUTES 14 SECONDS EAST, 545.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 41 DEGREES 17
MINUTES 56 SECONDS EAST, 741.26 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 55 DEGREES 12
MINUTES 45 SECONDS EAST, 390.49 FEET; THENCE NORTH 72 DEGREES 29
MINUTES 54 SECONDS EAST, 117.35 FEET; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY 118.30 FEET
ALONG AN ARC OF A CIRCLE WHOSE PARTIES IS 220.00 FEET AND WHOSE CHORD ALONG AN ARC OF A CIRCLE, WHOSE RADIUS IS 270.00 FEET, AND WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTH 59 DEGREES 56 MINUTES 46 SECONDS EAST; THENCE NORTH 47 DEGREES 23 MINUTES 38 SECONDS EAST, 168.54 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 42 DEGREES 36 MINUTES 23 SECONDS EAST, 153.87 FERT; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY 10.47 FEET ALONG AN ARC OF A CIRCLE WHOSE RADIUS IS 70.00 FEET, AND WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTH 46 DEGREES 53 MINUTES 30 SECONDS EAST; THENCE SOUTH 51 DEGREES 10 MINUTES 38 SECONDS EAST, 135.93 FEET; THENCE NORTH 39 DEGREES 21 MINUTES 01 SECONDS EAST, 218.72 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 00 DEGREES 20 MINUTES 50 SECONDS WEST, 581.40 FEET; THENCE NORTH 78 DEGREES 48 MINUTES 01 SECONDS WEST, 459.94 FEET; THENCE NORTH 01 DEGREES 44 MINUTES 21 SECONDS WEST, 50.00 FEET; THENCE NORTH 49 DEGREES 14 MINUTES 47 SECONDS EAST, 357.62 FEET; THENCE NORTH 51 DEGREES 10 MINUTES 38 SECONDS WEST, 124.35 FEET; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY 19.45 FEET ALONG AN ARC OF A CIRCLE WHOSE RADIUS IS 130.00 FEET AND WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTH 46 DEGREES 53 MINUTES 30 SECONDS WEST; THENCE NORTH 42 DEGREES 36 MINUTES 22 SECONDS WEST, 95.32 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 47 DEGREES 23 MINUTES 38 SECONDS WEST, 108.54 FEET; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY 144.59 FEET ALONG AN ARC OF A CIRCLE WHOSE RADIUS IS 350.00 FEET AND WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTH 59 DEGREES 56 MINUTES 46 SECONDS WEST; THENCE SOUTH 72 DEGREES 29 MINUTES 54 SECONDS WEST, 183.14 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 5 DEGREES 45 MINUTES 02 SECONDS WEST, 579.66 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 8 DEGREES 03 MINUTES 14 SECONDS EAST, 259.11 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 18 DEGREES 16 MINUTES OF SECONDS EAST, 298.21 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 68 DEGREES 45 HINUTES 12 SECONDS WEST, 567.66 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 04 DEGREES 24 HINUTES 21 SECONDS WEST, 65.16 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 19 DEGREES 25 MINUTES HINUTES 21 SECONDS WEST, 65.16 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 19 DEGREES 25 MINUTE 36 SECONDS EAST, 250.00 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY OF ILLINOIS STATE ROUTE 176, AS DEDICATED PER DOCUMENT 337656, DATED JANUARY 1929; THENCE SOUTH 62 DEGREES 34 MINUTES 19 SECONDS WEST ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY, 304.00 FEET; THENCE NORTH 00-26 MINUTES 15 SECONDS EAST, 170.26 FEET; THENCE NORTH 37 DEGREES 01 MINUTES 49 SECONDS WEST, 186.21 FEET; THENCE NORTH 01 DEGREES 25 MINUTES 07 SECONDS EAST, 565.12 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, IN LAKE COUNTY, ILLINOIS, now known as Lots 1 to 74, both inclusive, and Lots 1A to 16A and part of Outlot. "A" in Ivanhoe Club Phase II Subdivision.

THAT PART OF THE SOUTHWEST 1/4 OF SECTION 15, AND THAT PART OF THE NORTHWEST 1/4, AND THAT PART OF THE SOUTHWEST 1/4 OF SECTION 22. TOWNSHIP 44 NORTH, RANGE 10, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, AND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: MERIDIAN, AND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:
COMMENCING AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF THE NORTHWEST 1/4 OF SAID
SECTION 22. THENCE SOUTH 88"-57"-29" EAST, ALONG THE NORTH LINE
OF THE SOUTHWEST 1/4 OF SAID SECTION 22. 395.50 FEET; THENCE
SOUTH 00"-04"-41" EAST, -1087.38 FEET, TO A POINT ON THE NORTHERLY
RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF FLUNDIS STATE ROUTE 176, AS RECORDED PER
DOCUMENT NO. 337636, DATED JANUARY 1929, THENCE NORTH 62"-34"-18"
EAST, ASE 10 FEET, THENCE NORTH 00"-28"-18" FAST, 170.98 FEET. EAST, 438.10 FEET; THENCE NORTH 00" -26"-15" EAST, 170.26 FEET; THENCE NORTH 37"-01"-49" WEST, 188.21 FEET; THENCE NORTH 01"-25"-07" EAST, 561.43 FEET; THENCE NORTH 48"-59"-52" WEST, 281.81 07" EAST, \$61.43 FEET; THENCE NORTH 48"-59"-52" WEST, 251.51
FEET; THENCE NORTH 46"-03"-39" EAST, 350.19 FEET; THENCE NORTH 16"
-58"-46" WEST, 717.76 FEET; THENCE NORTH 16"-00"-14" EAST, 545.00
FEET; THENCE SOUTH 41"-17"-56" EAST, 741.26 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 85"
-12"-45" EAST, 390.49 FEET; THENCE NORTH 72"-28"-54" EAST, 117.35
FEET; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY 118.30 FEET, ALONG AN ARC OF A CIRCLE WHOSE RADIUS 18 270.00 FEET, AND WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTH 59"-56"
46" EAST; THENCE NORTH 47"-23"-35" EAST, 168.54 FEET; THENCE SOUTH
42"-36"-22" EAST, 153.87 FEET; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY 10.47 FEET
(C10) ALONG AN ARC OF A CIRCLE, WHOSE RADIUS IS 70.00 FEET, AND 42"-30"-ZZ" EAST, 153.87 FEET; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY 10.47 FEET (C10), ALONG AN ARC OF A CIRCLE, WHOSE RADIUS-IS 70.00 FEET, AND WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTH 46"-53"-30" EAST; THENCE SOUTH 51"-10"-38" EAST, 135.93 FEET; THENCE NORTH 39"-21"-01" EAST, 218.72 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 00"-20"-50" WEST, 581.40 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 18"-58"-52" EAST, 197.80 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 05"-31"-53" EAST, 492.33 FEET, TO A POINT ON THE NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF ILLINOIS STATE POLITE 175; THENCE NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF ILLINOIS STATE ROUTE 176; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY 393.17 FEET, ALONG AN ARC OF A CIRCLE WHOSE RADIUS IS 2903.00 FEET, AND WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTH 73"-35"-05" EAST, SAID COURSE BEING BEING ALONG SAID RIGHT-0F-WAY UNE, TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE EAST LINE OF THE SOUTHWEST 1/4 OF SAID SECTION 22; THENCE NORTH 00"-04"06" EAST, 19.00 FEET, ALONG SAID UNE, TO THE CENTER OF SAID SECTION 22, THENCE NORTH 00"-04"06" EAST. ALONG THE EAST LINE OF THE NORTHWEST 1/4 OF SAID SECTION SECTION 22, 2839.28 FEET; THENCE NORTHWEST 1/4 OF SAID SECTION SECTION 22, 2035.20 FEET; THENCE NORTH 89"-59'-37" WEST, ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF THE NORTHWEST 1/4 OF SAID SECTION 22, 191.66 FEET; THENCE NORTH OO"-22'-02" WEST, 1210.91 FEET, TO A POINT ON THE CENTERLINE OF ELLINOIS STATE ROUTE 60 (59A); THENCE NORTH 51"-28"-15" WEST, ALONG SAID CENETRUNE, 499.03 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 53"-13'-04" WEST, 335.76.FEET, TO A POINT ON THE SOUTH LINE OF THE NORTHEAST 1/4 OF THE SOUTHWEST 1/4 OF SAID SECTION 15, THENCE SOUTH 89 -57'-34" WEST, 477.22 FEET, TO A POINT

ON THE WEST LINE OF THE SOUTHEAST 1/4 OF THE SOUTHWEST 1/4 OF SAID SECTION 15: THENCE SOUTH 60°-13'-02° EAST, 1321.43 FEET, TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF THE NORTHWEST 1/4 OF SAID SECTION 22: THENCE NORTH 89°-38'-35' WEST, 1294.14 FEET, TO A POINT ON SAID NORTH LINE, 42.40 FEET EAST OF THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF THE NORTHWEST 1/4 OF SAID SECTION 22: THENCE SOUTH 44°-59'-26' WEST, 59.94 FEET, TO A POINT ON THE WEST LINE OF THE NORTHWEST 1/4 OF SAID SECTION 22, SAID POINT BEING 42.41 FEET SOUTH OF THE NORTHWEST 1/4 OF SAID SECTION 22; THENCE SOUTH 00°-01'-44° EAST, 2596.03 FEET, MORE OR LESS, ALONG SAID WEST LINE, TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF THE NORTHWEST 1/4 OF SAID SECTION 22, ALL IN LAKE COUNTY, ILLINGIS.

THE EAST 250.00 FEET OF THE WEST 878.20 FEET OF THAT PART OF THE SOUTHWEST 1/4 OF SECTION 22, TOWNSHIP 44 NORTH, RANGE 10, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, LYING SOUTH OF THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT—OF-WAY LINE OF ELINOIS STATE ROUTE 176, AS RECORDED PER DOCUMENT NO. 337658, JANUARY 1929, ALL IN LAKE COUNTY, RUNOIS.

LASER DEVELOPMENT COMPANY

Thorngate Country Club, Inc. 600 Sanders.Road Deerfield, Il. 60015

Re: Agreement Dated of November 1990
By and Between Thorngate Country Club and Laser Land
Development Co. Relating to Phase III of the Ivanhoe
Club ("Agreement")

For and in consideration of the mutual promises and covenants herein contained, and the promises and covenants contained in the Agreement, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, it is agreed as follows:

- 1. Should Laser (as defined in the Agreement) construct Phase III Golf (as defined in the Agreement) and fail to convey Phase III Golf to Thorngate for any reason other than Thorngate's failure to perform its obligations under the Agreement, and, should Thorngate have erected, or caused to be erected an exterior fence around the Laser Properties, (as defined in the Agreement), then, in such event, Laser shall pay to Thorngate the sum of \$50,000.00 as and for compensation to Thorngate for the possible costs incurred in erecting an additional fence between the Ivanhoe Club (as defined in the Agreement) and the Laser Properties.
 - 2. Said sum shall be paid promptly upon demand upon Laser.
- 3. This covenant is provided to Thorngate as an additional inducement to Thorngate to execute the Agreement.

THORNGATE COUNTRY CLUB, INC. AN IL. NOT-FOR-PROPIT CORPORATION

LASER LAND DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, AN ILLINOIS CORPORATION

Y: XXXXX

THIS INSTRUMENT PREPARED BY AND UPON RECORDATION SHOULD BE RETURN-ED TO:

Stephen J. Schostok, Esq.
Laser, Schostok, Kolman & Frank
30 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60602-2604

DECLARATION OF COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS FOR THE MUTUAL WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM OF THE IVANHOE CLUB

THIS DECLARATION OF COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS FOR THE MUTUAL WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM OF THE IVANHOE CLUB ("this Declaration") is made this ______ day of December, 1990 by CAPITOL BANK OF CHICAGO ("Trustee"), not personally but solely as Trustee under a Trust Agreement dated December 1, 1986 and known as Trust No. 1250 (the "Trust"), RED TOP DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, an Illinois corporation ("Red Top"), BROOK-RIDGE DEVELOPMENT, INC., an Illinois corporation ("Brook-Ridge"), and THORNGATE COUNTRY CLUB, INC., an Illinois not-for-profit corporation ("Thorngate").

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, Trustee holds legal title to certain real property located in unincorporated Lake County, Illinois, situated southeast of Route 60 and Fremont Center Road near the Village of Mundelein and legally described in Exhibit A attached hereto and made a part hereof (the "Golf Course Property") and in Exhibit B attached hereto and made a part hereof (the "Sewer System Property"); and

WHEREAS, Thorngate, as the legal beneficiary of the Trust, holds the beneficial ownership interest in the Golf Course Property and the Sewer System Property; and

WHEREAS, Red Top holds legal title to certain real property located in unincorporated Lake County, Illinois, situated southeast of Route 60 and Fremont Center Road near the Village of Mundelein and legally described in Exhibit C attached hereto and made a part hereof (the "IDLP Property") [the Golf Course Property, the Sewer System Property and the "Residential Property" (as hereinafter defined) are hereinafter referred to as the "Property"]; and